

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/776,770	GOLDBERG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jehanne Souaya Sitton	1634	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Jehanne Souaya Sitton.

(3) _____.

 (2) Michael Tuscan.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 March 2004
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1-27

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Sitton indicated that a terminal disclaimer was needed in the instant application with regard to a related US Patent: 6,203,989. Further, the examiner indicated that claims 28-35 were withdrawn but not canceled. Applicant's representative indicated that a TD would be filed and authorized the cancelation of claim 28-35.